



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

fw

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,581	05/24/2001	David W. Beddome	90099012	2137

7590 05/30/2003

Felix L. Fischer
Honeywell International Inc.
Suite 200
23326 Hawthorne Boulevard
Torrance, CA 90505

EXAMINER

DUONG, THO V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/864,581		BEDDOME ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Tho v Duong		3743	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tho v Duong. (3) _____
 (2) Brian J. Pangrle. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 May 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bridgnell and Nishishita.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative presents remarks pertaining the proposed amendment (copy attached). Applicant will amend the claims to define over the used prior arts. Specifically, applicant will amend all the independent claims to further define a motion limiter as a ring attached to the tube to limit axial motion in either upwardly or downwardly. Applicant will also incorporate to all independent claims a further limitation of a duct, which separates the core from the load bearing member. Upon submission of a proper amendment, the application will be subjected to a further and updated search.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Tho v Duong
 Examiner's signature, if required